Goal Recognition with Real World Data

Felipe Meneguzzi†

†Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil
 felipe.meneguzzi@pucrs.br

Salvador, October, 2019



A researcher with a vision



Table of Contents

1 What is Goal Recognition?

2 A Canned History of Current Approaches

Goal Recognition using Real World Data
Plan Recognition using Video Data
Goal Recognition in Incomplete Domains
Plan Recognition in Latent Space
Goal Recognition Using Nominal Models
Engineering GR Domains using ML

Summary and Future Directions

4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

What is it?

- **Goal Recognition** is the task of recognizing agents' goal that explains a sequence of observations of its actions;
 - Related to plan recognition, i.e. recognizing a top-level action
 - A specific form of the problem of abduction
- Roughly two types of approach:
 - Plan-library based (classical plan recognition)
 - Domain-theory based (plan recognition as planning, or PRAP)



イロト イヨト イヨト

Why do we need goal recognition?

- Recognizing plans and goals of others is critical for meaningful interaction:
 - important for humans/agents working in the same environment
 - increasingly important as we build more intelligent systems



- Overall area of Plan, Activity and Intent Recognition
 - Activity recognition: recognizing meaningful activities from low-level sensor data
 - Plan/Intent/Goal recognition: recognizing intentional higher-level sequences of activities

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Why do we need goal recognition?

- Recognizing plans and goals of others is critical for meaningful interaction:
 - important for humans/agents working in the same environment
 - increasingly important as we build more intelligent systems



- Overall area of Plan, Activity and Intent Recognition
 - Activity recognition: recognizing meaningful activities from low-level sensor data
 - Plan/Intent/Goal recognition: recognizing intentional higher-level sequences of activities

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Why do we need goal recognition?

- Recognizing plans and goals of others is critical for meaningful interaction:
 - important for humans/agents working in the same environment
 - increasingly important as we build more intelligent systems



- Overall area of Plan, Activity and Intent Recognition
 - Activity recognition: recognizing meaningful activities from low-level sensor data
 - Plan/Intent/Goal recognition: recognizing intentional higher-level sequences of activities

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



E

990



∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

< □ > < 同 >

-

Э



E

990



breaking egg

4 ∃ ≥ < 3 ≥ </p>

< □ > < 同 >

Э



The possible **goals** the trainer expected to pursue:

- (1) Store all triangles in b_1
- ② Store all spheres in b_2
- 3 Store all cubes in b_3
- ④ Store red objects in b_2
- 5 Store green objects in b_3
- 6 Store blue objects in b_1

4 ∃ ≥ < 3 ≥ </p>



One possible plan for the trainer to achieve goal #1

(store all triangles in b_1):

- Walk from B3 into A4
- 2 Pick p_3 up
- 3 Walk from A4 into B3
- ④ Walk from B3 into C2
- 5 Pick p₄ up
- 6 Throw p_3 into b_1
- If Throw p_4 into b_1

3

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト



If sensors miss 70% of *walk* actions and half *pick* and *drop* actions, we may only see:

- Pick p₃ up
- 2 Walk from A4 into B3



If sensors miss 70% of *walk* actions and half *pick* and *drop* actions, we may only see:

- (1) Pick p_3 up
- 2 Walk from A4 into B3

Here, we could deduce either goal #1 or #4 (store all red objects in b_2), as other tasks are less *likely*.

ヨトィヨト

Flavors of Recognition Formalism



Domain Theory (PRAP)

```
(define (domain grid)

(:requirement: strips :typing)

(:types place shape key)

(:predicates (conn ?x ?y - place)

(key-shape ?x - hey ?s - shape)

(lock-shape ?x - place ?s - shape)

(at ?r - key ?x - place)

(locked ?x - place)

(carrying ?k - key)

(open ?x - place)
```

```
(:action unlock

:parameters (?curpos ?lockpos - place ?key - key ?shape - shape)

:precondition (and (conn ?curpos ?lockpos) (key-shape ?key ?shape)

(lock-shape ?lockpos ?shape) (at-robt ?curpos)

(locked ?lockpos) (carrying ?key))

:effect (and (open ?lockpos) (not (locked ?lockpos))))
```

```
(:action move
:parameters (?curpos ?nextpos - place)
:precondition (and (at-robot ?curpos) (conn ?curpos ?nextpos) (open ?r
:effect (and (at-robot ?nextpos) (not (at-robot ?curpos))))
)
(:action pickup
```

```
: parameters (<sup>7</sup>curpos — place <sup>2</sup>key — key)
: precondition (and (at-robot <sup>2</sup>curpos) (at <sup>2</sup>key <sup>2</sup>curpos))
: effect (and (carrying <sup>2</sup>key)
(not (at <sup>2</sup>key <sup>2</sup>curpos)))
)
```

Table of Contents

What is Goal Recognition?

2 A Canned History of Current Approaches

Goal Recognition using Real World Data

- Plan Recognition using Video Data
- Goal Recognition in Incomplete Domains
- Plan Recognition in Latent Space
- Goal Recognition Using Nominal Models
- Engineering GR Domains using ML

4) Summary and Future Directions

4 1 1 4 1 1 1

Goal Recognition using Planning Domains I

Ramirez and Geffner (2009 and 2010)

- First approaches to goal recognition: Plan Recognition as Planning (PRAP)
- Probabilistic model aims to compute $P(G \mid O)$
- Following Bayes Rule $P(G \mid O) = \alpha P(O \mid G)P(G)$
- Given P(G) as a prior, key bottleneck is computing $P(O \mid G)$

- Compute P(O | G) in terms of a cost difference c(G, O) − c(G, Ō)
- Costs two planner calls per goal hypothesis



Goal Recognition with Real World Data

Goal Recognition using Planning Heuristics

Pereira, Oren and Meneguzzi (2017):

- Obviate the need to execute a planner multiple times for recognizing goals; and
- Novel goal recognition heuristics that use planning landmarks.
- More accurate and orders of magnitude faster than all previous approaches.

Planning Landmarks:

- Are **necessary conditions** for any valid plan
- Theoretical cost of computation is the same as planning



Goal Recognition with Real World Data

Goal Recognition using Operator-Counting Constraints

Meneguzzi, Pereira and Pereira (2020):

- Use operator counting heuristic information for recognizing goals; and
- Operator counts and LP constraints cope explicitly with noisy observations.
- Key advantages:
 - More accurate than all previous approaches; and
 - Provides an extensible framework for further goal recognition work.



< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Table of Contents

1) What is Goal Recognition?

2 A Canned History of Current Approaches

Goal Recognition using Real World Data
Plan Recognition using Video Data
Goal Recognition in Incomplete Domains
Plan Recognition in Latent Space

- Goal Recognition Using Nominal Models
- Engineering GR Domains using ML

Summary and Future Directions

4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

I will now talk about Machine Learning, but I do not buy into the hype

Sac

I will now talk about Machine Learning,
 but I do not buy into the hype
 ML Inverse Fight Club (James Mickens)

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

I will now talk about Machine Learning,
but I do not buy into the hype
ML Inverse Fight Club (James Mickens)
First Rule: You must talk about fight club

I will now talk about Machine Learning,
but I do not buy into the hype
ML Inverse Fight Club (James Mickens)
Pirst Rule: You must talk about fight club
Second Rule: Let's not fight because we all agree that ML is awesome

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Where can we use real-world data?

- Domain description: What we want to recognize?
 - Environment domain
 - Subject preferences
- Goal Recognition: How do we deal with the observations?
 - Generate observations from raw data
 - Cope with noise from observations



Sac

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 二日

- Domain Knowledge:
 - Must be engineered by humans
 - Must be perfect
- Observations:
 - Must be "well-behaved" in some sense
 - Do not use raw, real-world data

E 6 4 E 6

• Do I hate machine learning then?

990

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Do I hate machine learning then?No, I love it!

DQC

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Do I hate machine learning then? No, I love it! If you are doing any unstructured data input

A E > A E >

Sac

Do I hate machine learning then? No, I love it!

If you are doing any unstructured data input
 If you want to impress your friends or peddle for money

Meneguzzi

Goal Recognition with Real World Data

Salvador, October, 2019 17 / 60

ヨトィヨト

- To Generate Symbolic Observations:
 - ML to map raw data into recognition algorithm
 - ML algorithms to generate symbolic observations
- Obtain Domain Knowledge:
 - Cope with expected noisy observations relaxing the domain model
 - Learn PDDL representations from image data
 - Learn Nominal Models from raw data
- To work on both problems simultaneously
 - Hybrid engineering/learning of PDDL representations

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Plan Recognition using Video Data

Salvador, October, 2019 19 / 60

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

3

Plan Recognition using Video Data

- Most research focuses on activity and plan recognition separately;
- We develop a hybrid approach that comprises both;
- The approach infers, from a set of candidate plans, which plan a human subject is pursuing based exclusively on fixed-camera video.



4 1 1 4 1 1 1

A Hybrid Architecture for Activity and Plan Recognition

• Conceptually divided in two main parts

- CNN-based activity recognition (CNN)¹
- CNN-backed symbolic plan recognition (SBR)²



Meneguzzi

¹That's us!

- To Generate Symbolic Observations:
 - \circ ML to map raw data into a recognition algorithm \checkmark
 - ML algorithms to generate symbolic observations
- Obtain Domain Knowledge:
 - · Cope with expected noisy observations relaxing the domain model
 - Learn PDDL representations from image data
 - Learn Nominal Models from raw data
- To work on both problem simultaneously
 - Hybrid engineering/learning of PDDL representations
Goal Recognition in Incomplete Domains

< □ > < 同 >

- 11

3

Sac

In a nutshell: It is a STRIPS/PDDL domain that allows me to state that some preconditions/effects **may or may not** be there!

3

Why use Incomplete Domains?

- A step forward to more realistic settings; and
- The lack of domain knowledge, human-error, and etc.



Meneguzzi

Background: Incomplete STRIPS Domain Models

Definition (Incomplete STRIPS Domain Model^a)

^aWeber and Bryce, Planning and Acting in Incomplete Domain Models. ICAPS, 2011.

An incomplete STRIPS domain model is a tuple $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \rangle$, where:

- \mathcal{R} is a set of predicates with typed variables;
- \mathcal{O} is a set of incomplete operators. An operator $\widetilde{op} \in \mathcal{O}$ defines:
 - $pre(\widetilde{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ as a set of known preconditions;
 - $add(\widetilde{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ as a set of known add effects;
 - $del(\widetilde{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ as a set of known delete effects;

Background: Incomplete STRIPS Domain Models

Definition (Incomplete STRIPS Domain Model^a)

^a Weber and Bryce, Planning and Acting in Incomplete Domain Models. ICAPS, 2011.

An incomplete STRIPS domain model is a tuple $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}} = \langle \mathcal{R}, \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \rangle$, where:

- \mathcal{R} is a set of predicates with typed variables;
- \mathcal{O} is a set of incomplete operators. An operator $\widetilde{op} \in \mathcal{O}$ defines:
 - $pre(\widetilde{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ as a set of known preconditions;
 - $\widetilde{pre}(\widetilde{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ as a set of **possible preconditions**;
 - $add(\widetilde{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ as a set of known add effects;
 - $add(\widetilde{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ as a set of **possible add effects**;
 - $del(\widetilde{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ as a set of known delete effects;
 - $del(\widetilde{op}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ as a set of **possible delete effects**;

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Problem Overview



Meneguzzi

Salvador, October, 2019 27 / 60

Sac

- To Generate Symbolic Observations:
 - $\circ\,$ ML to map raw data into a recognition algorithm $\checkmark\,$
 - ML algorithms to generate symbolic observations
- Obtain Domain Knowledge:
 - $\,\circ\,$ Cope with expected noisy observations relaxing the domain model $\checkmark\,$
 - Learn PDDL representations from image data
 - Learn Nominal Models from raw data
- To work on both problem simultaneously
 - Hybrid engineering/learning of PDDL representations

Plan Recognition in Latent Space

Salvador, October, 2019 29 / 60

* E > * E >

< □ > < 同 >

E

990

- Goal and Plan Recognition depend on high-quality domain engineering
 - PDDL domain theory for PRAP
 - Plan Library for traditional PR
- We would like to obviate the need for most domain engineering
 - Learn domain models directly from raw data
 - Recognize goals using raw data as observations

< ロト < 同ト < 三ト < 三ト

Inspiration: LatPlanner³



Meneguzzi

Goal Recognition with Real World Data

Salvador, October, 2019 31 / 60

Variational Autoencoders

- Autoencoders are a specific type of Neural Network intended to learn representations
- Consists of three key parts
 - Encoder network
 - Latent layer (the middle layer)
 - Decoder network
- Trained in an unsupervised manner
- Variational Autoencoders force the the encoder network to generate latent vectors following some distribution (typically a unit-gaussian one)



∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Gumbel-softmax autoencoders and planning

- Planners view states as sets of logical propositions (i.e. binary vectors)
- We would like to be able to learn state representations from raw data
- We force an autoencoder to have a categorial distribution in the latent layer:
 - Gumbel-softmax activation can be annealed into a categorical distribution
 - Latent layer now correspond to logic bits
 - Can learn a PDDL transition function from pairs of states



< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Goal Recognition using Raw Data

 Once we learn the internal representation, we can recognize plans as sequences of images, but using symbolic goal recognition algorithms



∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Goal Recognition in Latent Space

Key steps in the approach

- Train autoencoder using an image dataset (binary representation)
- Infer transition function from pairs of encoded images (states)
- Convert Goal Recognition problem to latent space using autoencoder
- Recognize goals using PRAP algorithms (ours)



- To Generate Symbolic Observations:
 - $\circ\,$ ML to map raw data into a recognition algorithm $\checkmark\,$
 - ML algorithms to generate symbolic observations
- Obtain Domain Knowledge:
 - $\,\circ\,$ Cope with expected noisy observations relaxing the domain model $\checkmark\,$
 - \circ Learn PDDL representations from image data \checkmark
 - Learn Nominal Models from raw data
- To work on both problem simultaneously
 - Hybrid engineering/learning of PDDL representations

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Goal Recognition Using Nominal Models

< □ > < 同 >

E

Sac

Motivation

- Existing goal recognition approaches rely on complete models with known system dynamics;
- We drop the assumption that the transition function is given and well defined, using Nominal (approximate) models



Deep Neural Networks as Nominal Models



- We acquire nominal models by training a DNN
- Trained DNN becomes the transition function
- Nominal models support continuous action and state spaces;

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

Goal Recognition over Nominal Models



We define the observations O as trajectory of states induced by a policy π that minimises J, and achieve a hidden goal G^{*} ∈ G.

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

We adopt the probabilistic interpretation of Ramírez and Geffner $(2010)^4$:

- $P(G|O) = \alpha P(O|G)P(G)$
 - P(G) is a *prior* probability to a goal G;
 - P(O|G) is the probability of observing O when the goal is G;
 α is a normalisation factor.

Here, since P(G) is equal for every candidate goal, the question is:How do we compute P(O|G)?

⁴ Ramírez and Geffner, Probabilistic Plan Recognition using off-the-shelf Classical Blanners AAAI,=20114 📃 ৮ 🛛 🚊 🔊 ९ ९ ९

We develop our first approach using the concept of $Mirroring^5$ to compare two plans for each of the candidate goals in G:

- Ideal-plan (π_G) : a plan computed from \mathcal{I} to every goal G in \mathcal{G} ;
- *O*-plan (π_{O,G}): a plan computed for every pair *I*, *G*, which must visit every state in *O*.

⁵ Vered et al., Online Goal Recognition through Mirroring: Humans and Agents. ACS, 2016. Ν (Ξ Ν (Ξ Ν (Ξ Ν) Ξ) (Ο (Ο

We compare the **Ideal-plan** and the *O*-**plan** using the *matching-error*⁶ ϵ , i.e., the **Euclidean distance** between the trajectories.



- b

⁶Kaminka et al., Plan Recognition in Continuous Domains. AAAI, 2018.

- To Generate Symbolic Observations:
 - $\circ\,$ ML to map raw data into recognition algorithm $\checkmark\,$
 - ML algorithms to generate symbolic observations
- Obtain Domain Knowledge:
 - $\,\circ\,$ Cope with expected noisy observations relaxing the domain model $\checkmark\,$
 - \circ Learn PDDL representations from image data \checkmark
 - Learn Nominal Models from raw data
- To work on both problem simultaneously
 - Hybrid engineering/learning of PDDL representations

Engineering GR Domains using ML

Sac

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Machine Learning and Computer Vision

 Machine Learning models are the unchallenged state of the art for computer vision:



Sac

Machine Learning and Computer Vision

- Machine Learning models are the unchallenged state of the art for computer vision:
- Most computer vision datasets already contain annotated semantic information (and algorithms assume their existence):
 - Labels for objects and relations
- Why not use this semantic information to co-design GR domains around them?



Relations:
choiled-egg,holding,bowl>

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

Deriving PDDL from ML Algorithms



peel-boiled-egg



Relations:
<person,holding,boiled-egg>
<boiled-egg,holding,bowl>

< ロト < 同ト < 三ト < 三ト

Generating Semantically-meaningful Observations with ML



<person,holding,shell-egg>
<shell-egg,in,pan>
<person,holding,hashi>

Meneguzzi

<person,holding,boiled-egg>
<boiled-egg,on,bowl>

<person,holding,hard-boiled-egg>
<hard-boiled-egg,on,bowl>

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Sac

- To Generate Symbolic Observations:
 - $\circ\,$ ML to map raw data into recognition algorithm $\checkmark\,$
 - $\,\circ\,$ ML algorithms to generate symbolic observations $\checkmark\,$
- Obtain Domain Knowledge:
 - $\,\circ\,$ Cope with expected noisy observations relaxing the domain model $\checkmark\,$
 - $\,\circ\,$ Learn PDDL representations from image data $\checkmark\,$
 - Learn Nominal Models from raw data \checkmark
- To work on both problem simultaneously
 - \circ Hybrid engineering/learning of PDDL representations \checkmark

Table of Contents

1 What is Goal Recognition?

2 A Canned History of Current Approaches

Goal Recognition using Real World Data
Plan Recognition using Video Data
Goal Recognition in Incomplete Domains
Plan Recognition in Latent Space
Goal Recognition Using Nominal Models
Engineering GR Domains using ML

4 Summary and Future Directions

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

We progressively drop assumptions used by goal recognition about:
 Precision of domain knowledge

Along the way, we showed how to perform goal recognition:
Using incomplete domain knowledge

< ロト < 同ト < 三ト < 三ト

• We progressively drop assumptions used by goal recognition about:

- Precision of domain knowledge
- Quality of observations

• Along the way, we showed how to perform goal recognition:

- Using incomplete domain knowledge
- Using real world video-data

• We progressively drop assumptions used by goal recognition about:

- Precision of domain knowledge
- Quality of observations
- Exclusively discrete domains

• Along the way, we showed how to perform goal recognition:

- Using incomplete domain knowledge
- Using real world video-data
- Using learned (nominal) models

• We progressively drop assumptions used by goal recognition about:

- Precision of domain knowledge
- Quality of observations
- Exclusively discrete domains
- Existence of domain knowledge
- Along the way, we showed how to perform goal recognition:
 - Using incomplete domain knowledge
 - Using real world video-data
 - Using learned (nominal) models
 - In Latent Space

• We progressively drop assumptions used by goal recognition about:

- Precision of domain knowledge
- Quality of observations
- Exclusively discrete domains
- Existence of domain knowledge
- Along the way, we showed how to perform goal recognition:
 - Using incomplete domain knowledge
 - Using real world video-data
 - Using learned (nominal) models
 - In Latent Space
 - Achieving lasting world peace

• We progressively drop assumptions used by goal recognition about:

- Precision of domain knowledge
- Quality of observations
- Exclusively discrete domains
- Existence of domain knowledge
- Along the way, we showed how to perform goal recognition:
 - Using incomplete domain knowledge
 - Using real world video-data
 - Using learned (nominal) models
 - In Latent Space
 - Achieving lasting world peace (Ok, maybe not)

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト
- Plan Recognition with Domain Theories
 - Extend heuristics to temporal and non-uniform-cost; domains
 - Experiment with more advanced notions of numeric landmarks (e.g. Scala et al.); and
 - Automatically infer first-order logic literals.
- More effective GR techniques combining learning and symbolic reasoning.

< ロ ト < 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト - 三

A last bit of wisdom



Effective AI combines search (symbolic reasoning) and machine learning (sensing the noisy world)

Э

E 6 4 E 6

A last bit of wisdom



Effective AI combines search (symbolic reasoning) and machine learning (sensing the noisy world)



Do not be naughty

< A >

물 눈 날 물

Thanks and Acknowledgement

People involved in this research

- Ramon Fraga Pereira (PhD Student)
- Maurício Magnaguagno (PhD Student)
- Leonardo Amado (PhD Student)
- Juarez Monteiro (PhD Student)
- Roger Granada (Postdoc)
- Mor Vered (Monash University, Australia)
- Gal Kaminka (Bar Ilan University, Israel)
- Miquel Ramirez (University of Melbourne, Australia)
- Nir Oren (University of Aberdeen, Scotland)
- André Grahl Pereira (UFRGS)
- Rodrigo Barros (PUCRS colleague)
- Duncan Ruiz (PUCRS colleague)

3

< ロト < 同ト < 三ト < 三ト

Institutions

- The Scottish Informatics and Computer Science Alliance (SICSA) Distinguished Visiting Fellowship (DVE)
- Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) – PQ Fellowship

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 二日

PEREIRA, Ramon. F.; PEREIRA, André G.; MENEGUZZI, Felipe. Landmark-Enhanced Heuristics for Goal Recognition in Incomplete Domain Models. ICAPS, 2019.

PEREIRA, Ramon. F.; VERED, Mor; MENEGUZZI, Felipe; RAMIREZ, Miquel. **Online Probabilistic Goal Recognition over Nominal Models.** IJCAI, 2019.

AMADO, Leonardo R.; AIRES, João Paulo; PEREIRA, Ramon F.; MAGNAGUAGNO, Maurício C.; GRANADA, Roger L.; MENEGUZZI, Felipe. **An LSTM-Based Approach for Goal Recognition in Latent Space.** PAIR@AAAI, 2019.

MENEGUZZI, Felipe; PEREIRA, André G.; PEREIRA, Ramon. F.. Robust Goal Recognition with Operator-Counting Heuristics. XAIP@ICAPS, 2019.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ● ●

AMADO, Leonardo R.; PEREIRA, Ramon F.; AIRES, João Paulo; MAGNAGUAGNO, Maurício C.; GRANADA, Roger Leitzke; and MENEGUZZI, Felipe. **Goal Recognition in Latent Space.** IJCNN, 2018.

AMADO, Leonardo R.; PEREIRA, Ramon F.; AIRES, João Paulo; MAGNAGUAGNO, Maurício C.; GRANADA, Roger Leitzke; and MENEGUZZI, Felipe. **Goal Recognition in Latent Space.** IJCNN, 2018.

VERED, Mor; PEREIRA, Ramon F.; KAMINKA, Gal; and MENEGUZZI, Felipe. **Online Goal Recognition as Reasoning over Landmarks.** PAIR@AAAI, 2018.

VERED, Mor; PEREIRA, Ramon F.; KAMINKA, Gal; and MENEGUZZI, Felipe. **Towards Online Goal Recognition Combining Goal Mirroring and Landmarks.** AAMAS, 2018.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

Papers reporting these results III

FRAGA PEREIRA, Ramon; MENEGUZZI, Felipe. Landmark-based Plan Recognition. ECAI, 2016.

PEREIRA, Ramon F.; OREN, Nir; and MENEGUZZI, Felipe. Landmark-Based Heuristics for Goal Recognition. AAAI, 2017.

PEREIRA, Ramon F.; OREN, Nir; and MENEGUZZI, Felipe. Monitoring Plan Optimality using Landmarks and Domain-Independent Heuristics. PAIR Workshop@AAAI, 2017.

GRANADA, Roger L.; PEREIRA, Ramon F.; MONTEIRO, Juarez; BARROS, Rodrigo; RUIZ, Duncan; and MENEGUZZI, Felipe. **Hybrid Activity and Plan Recognition for Video Streams**. PAIR Workshop@AAAI, 2017.

PEREIRA, Ramon F.; OREN, Nir; and MENEGUZZI, Felipe. **Detecting Commitment Abandonment by Monitoring Plan Execution**. AAMAS, 2017.

MONTEIRO, Juarez; GRANADA, Roger; BARROS, Rodrigo and MENEGUZZI, Felipe. **Deep Neural Networks for Kitchen Activity Recognition**. IJCNN, 2017. VERED, Mor; PEREIRA, Ramon F.; MAGNAGUAGNO, Maurício C.; KAMINKA, Gal; and MENEGUZZI, Felipe. **Online Goal Recognition Combining Landmarks**

and Planning. GRW@IJCAI, 2017.

<ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

If this talk was interesting and you want to know more, talk to me:

MSc and PhD admissions

22nd November 2019

Areas of work and advantages:

- Automated Planning and Goal Recognition
- Machine Learning (within reason)
- Excellent Food

E 6 4 E 6

Thank you! Questions?



ESCOLA POLITÉCNICA

Meneguzzi

Goal Recognition with Real World Data

Salvador, October, 2019 60 / 60